EXHIBIT 5 FILED UNDER SEAL

Transcript from the January 30, 2023 Deposition of Kevin Almeroth

Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 864-6 Filed 09/05/23 Page 2 of 3 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1 standalone mode and continued to be in standalone 9:59AM	1 question? 10:02AM
2 mode. Your hypothetical did not describe that	2 BY MR. KAPLAN:
3 detail.	3 Q If player A was an accused Google player
4 So really, given it's incompleteness, the	4 playing back media individually, can you tell me
5 best that I could say is that it depends on some of 9:59AM	5 whether or not player A is in standalone mode or 10:02AM
6 the additional details. And those being details	6 not?
7 that would be required of the claim but weren't	7 MR. SHEA: Sorry, objection to form.
8 included in your hypothetical.	8 Marc, are we now talking about your
9 BY MR. KAPLAN:	9 modified Scenario 3 or are you just asking a
10 Q With respect to player A where you said 9:59AM	10 question outside the context of that? It's not 10:03AM
11 you couldn't tell from my hypothetical scenario	11 super clear to me at this point.
12 whether it was in standalone mode or not, besides	12 MR. KAPLAN: That's a speaking objection.
13 player A playing back music individually, what	13 If the witness has a question for me, he can ask it.
14 additional information would you require to	14 But you can't object like that.
15 determine whether or not player A was in standalone 10:00AM	15 MR. SHEA: I'm just trying to make sure 10:03AM
16 mode?	16 the record is clear, Marc. I'm just asking so we're
MR. SHEA: Objection to form.	17 all on the same page.
18 THE WITNESS: It's hard to say in the	18 MR. KAPLAN: That's a continued speaking
19 hypothetical. Typically what I relied on for	19 objection. But if the witness has a question for
20 infringement is that it was not in group mode, that 10:00AM	20 me, he can ask it. 10:03AM
21 it wasn't part of the launched group.	21 THE WITNESS: In the context of an accused
22 BY MR. KAPLAN:	22 Google device, I would have to double check, for
23 Q So taking a speaker that's playing back	23 example, whether or not you can have a group with
24 music individually, with that alone, you can't tell	24 only one member in it.
25 whether that would be a standalone mode speaker or 10:00AM Page 58	25 But if you're not in a launched group, 10:04AM Page 60
1 age 50	1 age 00
1 not; is that right? 10:00AM	1 then you would be and you were playing audio 10:04AM
1 not; is that right? 10:00AM 2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form.	1 then you would be and you were playing audio 10:04AM 2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form.	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a 4 system that doesn't have modes at all and so	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a 4 system that doesn't have modes at all and so 5 characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a 4 system that doesn't have modes at all and so 5 characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM 6 just because it was playing audio does not seem like	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a 4 system that doesn't have modes at all and so 5 characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM 6 just because it was playing audio does not seem like 7 it would follow in the context of determining	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a 4 system that doesn't have modes at all and so 5 characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM 6 just because it was playing audio does not seem like 7 it would follow in the context of determining 8 whether or not a limitation was met. 9 If that's all that you had was sorry. 10 If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a 4 system that doesn't have modes at all and so 5 characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM 6 just because it was playing audio does not seem like 7 it would follow in the context of determining 8 whether or not a limitation was met. 9 If that's all that you had was sorry.	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group
2 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a 4 system that doesn't have modes at all and so 5 characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM 6 just because it was playing audio does not seem like 7 it would follow in the context of determining 8 whether or not a limitation was met. 9 If that's all that you had was sorry. 10 If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN:	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker?
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: I apologize, I did not mean to speak over	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form.
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was hat it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like ti would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine.	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Ultimate in the synthetical	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like ti would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Ultimate of the interval of the interv	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member.
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Q If I had said that the hypothetical scenario was player A where player A was an accused Google player and that was playing back media	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member. 19 But if you're not in a launched group, as
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like ti would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Q If I had said that the hypothetical scenario was player A where player A was an accused Google player and that was playing back media individually, then could you answer the question of 10:02AM	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member. 19 But if you're not in a launched group, as 20 I testified to you, I'm not aware sitting here right 10:05AM
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Q If I had said that the hypothetical scenario was player A where player A was an accused Google player and that was playing back media individually, then could you answer the question of 10:02AM whether or not you can tell if it's in standalone	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member. 19 But if you're not in a launched group, as 20 I testified to you, I'm not aware sitting here right 10:05AM 21 now of scenarios where you wouldn't be in standalone
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like ti would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was that it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Q If I had said that the hypothetical scenario was player A where player A was an accused Google player and that was playing back media individually, then could you answer the question of 10:02AM whether or not you can tell if it's in standalone mode or not?	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member. 19 But if you're not in a launched group, as 20 I testified to you, I'm not aware sitting here right 10:05AM 21 now of scenarios where you wouldn't be in standalone 22 mode.
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was shat it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Q If I had said that the hypothetical scenario was player A where player A was an accused Google player and that was playing back media individually, then could you answer the question of 10:02AM whether or not you can tell if it's in standalone mode or not? MR. SHEA: Objection to form, incomplete	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member. 19 But if you're not in a launched group, as 20 I testified to you, I'm not aware sitting here right 10:05AM 21 now of scenarios where you wouldn't be in standalone 22 mode. 23 BY MR. KAPLAN:
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like ti would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was shat it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Q If I had said that the hypothetical scenario was player A where player A was an accused Google player and that was playing back media individually, then could you answer the question of 10:02AM whether or not you can tell if it's in standalone mode or not? MR. SHEA: Objection to form, incomplete	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member. 19 But if you're not in a launched group, as 20 I testified to you, I'm not aware sitting here right 10:05AM 21 now of scenarios where you wouldn't be in standalone 22 mode. 23 BY MR. KAPLAN: 24 Q Are you accusing speakers that are in a
MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Well, I can envision a system that doesn't have modes at all and so characterizing a speaker as being in standalone mode 10:01AM just because it was playing audio does not seem like it would follow in the context of determining whether or not a limitation was met. If that's all that you had was sorry. If that's all that you had was shat it was playing 10:01AM audio, that wouldn't be sufficient to determine whether or not it was in standalone mode or not. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q I apologize, I did not mean to speak over you. I thought you were done with your answer. 10:01AM A That's fine. Q If I had said that the hypothetical scenario was player A where player A was an accused Google player and that was playing back media individually, then could you answer the question of 10:02AM whether or not you can tell if it's in standalone mode or not? MR. SHEA: Objection to form, incomplete	2 or actually, regardless of whether or not you were 3 playing audio, if you weren't part of a launched 4 group, you I say "you," so let me start over. 5 If an accused Google player were not in a 10:04AM 6 launched group, I'm not aware sitting here right now 7 of any scenario where it would not be in standalone 8 mode. 9 BY MR. KAPLAN: 10 Q If an accused Google player was in a group 10:05AM 11 where the speaker was the only member of the group 12 and it was playing back media, would that speaker be 13 a standalone mode speaker? 14 MR. SHEA: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: I am not sure what that 10:05AM 16 would look like, so I would have to go back and see 17 if you could have a launched group with a single 18 member. 19 But if you're not in a launched group, as 20 I testified to you, I'm not aware sitting here right 10:05AM 21 now of scenarios where you wouldn't be in standalone 22 mode. 23 BY MR. KAPLAN:

Case 3:20-cv-06754-WHA Document 864-6 Filed 09/05/23 Page 3 of 3 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	BY MR. KAPLAN: 10:13AM	1	have enough information to determine whether it is 10:16AM
2	Q Can a device be configured to play back	2	or is not.
3	media individually if it has been stopped?	3	BY MR. KAPLAN:
4	A I think it would be the same answer.	4	Q What more information would you need?
5	In the context of the accused players, 10:13AM	5	A It's hard for me to give you a specific 10:16AM
6		6	list. In the context of an accused product, I would
7	stopped, even when they are not currently playing	7	look to see whether or not it's in group mode. If it
8	audio producing sound waves.	8	wasn't in group mode, then I believe it would be in
9	Q An accused player can be in standalone	9	standalone mode.
10	mode when it's playing back media individually; 10:13AM	10	Q How do you tell for a device that's not 10:17AM
11		11	playing back media whether it's in group mode or
12	A An accused player can be in standalone mode	12	not?
13	and be playing audio individually.	13	A I would look to determine whether or not,
14	Q An accused player could also be in	14	say, for example, in the '966 patent, whether or not
15	standalone mode if it is paused from playing back 10:14AM	15	that device was operating in accordance with the first 10:17AM
16	media individually; is that right?	16	predefined group of zone players such that the first
17	A It would be the same answer.	17	zone player is configured to coordinate with at least
18	Q Your answer before was playing audio	18	the second zone player the output media in synchrony
19	individually, so I don't think that would apply. So	19	with output of media by at least the second zone
20	let me try one more time. 10:14AM	20	player. 10:17AM
21	An accused player could also be in	21	Q I think you just read the claim language
22	standalone mode if it is paused from playing back	22	and I'm trying to ask a simpler question to figure
23	media individually; is that right?	23	out what your opinions are. Let me try again.
24	A With this example and with others, if the	24	How do you tell for a device that's not
25	device is not in group mode and it's in standalone 10:14AM Page 66	25	playing back media whether it is in group mode or 10:18AM Page 68
	<u> </u>		2
1	mode, then whether it's playing sound waves or not is 10:14AM	1	not in group mode? 10:18AM
1 2		1 2	MR. SHEA: Objection to form.
2	a it's still configured to play back media individually.		MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would
2	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode	2	MR. SHEA: Objection to form.
2 3	a it's still configured to play back media individually.	2 3	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM
2 3 4	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode	2 3 4	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode
2 3 4 5	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM	2 3 4 5	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM
2 3 4 5 6	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone	2 3 4 5 6	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped? A If that's the only thing that's different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with THE WITNESS: Your question is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped? A If that's the only thing that's different about the device, it was still in standalone mode and the application that was playing the audio was 10:16AM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with THE WITNESS: Your question is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped? A If that's the only thing that's different about the device, it was still in standalone mode and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with THE WITNESS: Your question is an incomplete hypothetical. I don't have the kinds of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped? A If that's the only thing that's different about the device, it was still in standalone mode and the application that was playing the audio was 10:16AM	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with THE WITNESS: Your question is an incomplete hypothetical. I don't have the kinds of information I would need to determine whether or not 10:19AM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped? A If that's the only thing that's different about the device, it was still in standalone mode and the application that was playing the audio was 10:16AM stopped, it would still be in standalone mode.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with THE WITNESS: Your question is an incomplete hypothetical. I don't have the kinds of information I would need to determine whether or not 10:19AM it was operating group mode or standalone mode.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped? A If that's the only thing that's different about the device, it was still in standalone mode and the application that was playing the audio was 10:16AM stopped, it would still be in standalone mode. Q Is a device that is not playing back media	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with THE WITNESS: Your question is an incomplete hypothetical. I don't have the kinds of information I would need to determine whether or not 10:19AM it was operating group mode or standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a it's still configured to play back media individually. Q Is an accused device in standalone mode strike that. 10:15AM Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and it has been paused? A If nothing else has changed about the accused product other than the sound is no longer 10:15AM coming out of the speaker because it's been paused, it would still be configured to play back media individually and be in standalone mode. Q Can an accused device be in standalone mode if it was playing back media individually and 10:15AM the application causing the player to play back media individually has been stopped? A If that's the only thing that's different about the device, it was still in standalone mode and the application that was playing the audio was 10:16AM stopped, it would still be in standalone mode. Q Is a device that is not playing back media in standalone mode?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SHEA: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: So that's the way I would determine whether or not it was this group mode would be to look at the requirements of the claim 10:18AM language to see if those were met or not. If there was some portion of at least for the '966 patent that was not met by what is required of operating in accordance with the predefined group, then it's not in group mode. 10:18AM And if it's not in group mode, then I believe it would be in standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q When the speaker is not playing back media, in which cases will it be operating in 10:18AM accordance with THE REPORTER: In accordance with THE WITNESS: Your question is an incomplete hypothetical. I don't have the kinds of information I would need to determine whether or not 10:19AM it was operating group mode or standalone mode. BY MR. KAPLAN: Q What information would you need to